

The questions I would like answered are these :

1. When I suggested some while ago at the hokensho department at the prefectural office that many dogs being killed at Morinomiya were in fact lost, they said impossible. Any dog coming in with a collar as well as some identity (owner's telephone number or registration number for example) the owner would be contacted by the hokensho. Why wasn't this done in Taro's case ?
2. Registration costs ¥5000. What is the point of registering if there is no guarantee of your dog being recovered if lost or caught by the hokensho ?
3. Why wasn't a more honest and accurate account given to the Muramotos by Nose yakuba, Ikeda hokensho and the prefectural office ?
4. Even though the Muramotos were partly to blame in not keeping a closer watch on Taro and tying him, they could have received a warning or possible fine instead. Killing their dog is an extreme punishment.
5. Do Osaka Prefecture gunmen feel justification in gunning down people's dogs in order to get their quota of stray dogs ?
6. Why isn't a warning given to the general public that guns are going to be used. (Notice is always given of the poisoning.)