

The case against dog registration

Some people say that dog registration is unfair on responsible owners.

Yet, poll after poll shows that over 90% of dog owners support the scheme, and are prepared to pay a reasonable registration fee. (If they care about their dogs, shouldn't they?)

Other critics of the scheme say that dog registration would be a bureaucratic.

However, Britain's leading computer company, ICL, has agreed to operate a central registration bureau which would be forward and small-scale.

Organisations that support us

Association of District Councils	National Association of Metropolitan Authorities
Battersea Dogs' Home	National Federation of Dog Owners
British Veterinary Association	National Police Dog Association
Child Care Concern	National Police Dog Association
Country Landowners' Association	National Police Dog Association
Institution of Environmental Health Officers	National Police Dog Association
National Association of Dog Wardens	National Police Dog Association

Countries with licensing or registration

Holland	USA	France
Ulster	Germany	Russia



There are now 500,000 stray dogs suffering on the streets of Britain. They get injured and killed on our roads.

They foul our pavements and parks. They attack people and livestock.

The chaos they cause costs Britain £70 million a year.

Yet we can hardly blame the dogs.

Because there's no dog registration scheme in Britain, there's no way of identifying the dogs or returning them to their owners.

Instead they're needlessly killed by vets, animal welfare associations and local authorities, at the horrifying rate of 1000 unwanted dogs a day.

No wonder the RSPCA continues to campaign for dog registration.

The purpose of this advertisement is to ask you to write to your M.P.

Then when the dog registration vote next goes through Parliament, your M.P. can support it, convinced it's what you want.

But first we must convince you.

The case for dog registration.

Dog registration would promote